

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,852	06/20/2000	Kenneth H. Mollenauer	212/262 7145	
23371 7	7590 04/08/2003			
CROCKETT	& CROCKETT	EXAMINER		
	DE LA PLATA	THANH, LOAN H		
SUITE 400	I C CA 02652			
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	( )

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M.K			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/598,852		MOLLENAUER, KE	NNETH H.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		LoAn H. Tha		3763				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>14 March 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	o) This action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 10</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from cons	ideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election req	uirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ol>							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5 per No(s) 6	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(satent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/598,852

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The rejection applied under Swartz et al. has been obviated. However, the rejection under Ramsey, III is being maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5,9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramsey, III (6,148,222).

Ramsey, III discloses a device having a catheter body, a first and second balloon located at the distal end of the catheter, a first and second heating element (20) - and wires (19). See figures 1-7. Specifically, fig. 5 shows a plurality of balloons, plurality of suction lumens and plurality of heating element and wires. See fig. 6 and 7

Application/Control Number: 09/598,852

Art Unit: 3763

at heating elements (20 a and 20b). It is inherent that there is a vacuum source operably connected to the suction lumen. Ramsey, III discloses that ports 18 may function as aspiration ports. See col. 4. line 24-26.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant appears to be arguing intended use. With respect to the limitation of the vacuum source coupled to the suction lumen, it is considered to be inherent.

With respect to the heating element, Ramsey et al. does teach heating elements being considered by the Examiner as the electrodes. Ramsey, III, does avoids burns but the claimed limitation is for a heating element, and it is still considered to be a heating element since there is an electrical current which passes through the electrodes, heat would still be existent.

Applicant is reminded that the claims are directed to a device and without distinguishing structural limitations the prior art of record still anticipates the claim. The intended is of the imposes no limitation to the claim in the absence of distinguishing features.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/598,852

Art Unit: 3763

(703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to alternating Fridays (7:00 am-4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

LoAn H. Than Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3763